

AbOut MTM Program Product Software Requirements Specification

*Version 4.0
3/25/2015*

Applying MTM SRS Version 4.0

*Nick Broden, Aaron Hoff, Celia Schahczenski, Ryan Stapley, Chris Tenda,
Brian D. Knopp*

SRS Version History

SRS Version	Date	Authors	Comment
1.0	110213	Nick Broden, Aaron Hoff, Celia Schahczenski, Ryan Stapley, Chris Tenda	Combined group project SRS
1.1	110331	Aaron Hoff, Chris Tenda	Added Use-cases and functional requirements
1.2	110414	Aaron Hoff, Chris Tenda	Added Requirements models and specifications
1.3	110421	Aaron Hoff, Chris Tenda	Updated SRS and requirements models, Added User Interfaces
1.4	110428	Aaron Hoff, Chris Tenda	Updated SRS with corrections and report samples
2.0	120229	Celia Schahczenski	Updated based on feedback from Maintenance SE class, Spring 2012
2.1	120324	Celia Schahczenski	Updated based on user interfaces and student feedback from DB, spring 2012.
2.2	120417	Celia Schahczenski	Finished earlier work and updated reports
3.0	130312	Brian D. Knopp	MTM SRS Std. v3.4 Compliance; clarified sections, minor reformatting; incorporate defects feedback.

3.1	130413	Brian D. Knopp	Incorporate inspection feedback.
3.2	130512	Frank Ackerman	Restore requirement SM3 to client's language in v2.2
3.3	121123	Celia Schahczenski	Updated based on inspection by students in ESOF 326, Spring 2013.
3.4	140213	Celia Schahczenski	Updated based on work done in ESOF 326, spring 2014.
4.0	150325	Mitch Deplazes, Josh Lee, Celia Schahczenski, Luke Schuler, Mack Sutherland	ESOF 328, spring 2015, updated to accommodate EE

Montana Tech Software Engineering Students:

These Montana Tech Method software engineering standards encapsulate Dr. Ackerman's decades of experience in the software industry, the IEEE software engineering standards, and many suggestions from various texts. They have gone through many revisions and additions over the last several years. They are part of your software engineering studies so that (1) you may have the experience of developing software to a standard (which you may find you need to do if you take a job that requires high reliability software), and so that (2) you will have the experience of developing high quality software. You are also invited to participate in the continuing evolution of these standards by studying them critically and making suggestions for their improvement and correction.

TABLE CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	7
1.1 SOFTWARE PURPOSE AND SCOPE	7
1.2 DOCUMENT PURPOSE AND CONTENTS	7
1.3 DEFINITIONS, ACRONYMS, AND REFERENCES	8
1.3.1 Definitions.....	8
1.3.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations	9
1.3.3 Technical Definitions/Data Dictionary.....	10
1.4 REFERENCES	10
GENERAL FACTORS.....	10
1.5 PRODUCT PERSPECTIVE	10
1.6 PRODUCT FUNCTIONS	10
Function Overview.....	10
Administrative Functions.....	11
Faculty Functions.....	11
Reporting Functions.....	11
1.7 ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	12
1.8 USER CHARACTERISTIC	12
1.9 DEPENDENCIES	12
1.10 ASSUMPTIONS	12
ANALYSIS USE CASES.....	13
1.11 ACTORS	13
1.1 USE CASES.....	13
EXPLANATORY USER INTERFACES	15
SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS.....	15
1.2 FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	15
1.2.1 System (SM)	15
SM1: AbOut login.....	15
SM2: AbOut logout.....	15
SM3: Automatic logout.....	16
1.2.2 Administrator (AM).....	16
AM1: Add user information.....	16
AM2: Edit user information	16
AM3: Delete user information.....	16
AM4: View user information.....	16
AM5: Add semester	17
AM6: View all semesters.....	17
AM7: Default semester.....	17
AM8: Change default semester.....	17
AM9: Administrative view	17
AM10: Add student outcome	18

AM11: Edit student outcome	18
AM12: Delete student outcome	18
AM13: View outcomes	18
AM14: Add course	18
AM15: Edit course	19
AM16: Delete course	19
AM17: View courses	19
AM18: Associate or dissociate outcomes with course	19
AM19: Add course offering	19
AM20: Edit course offering	20 ¹⁹
AM21: Delete course offering	20
AM22: View all course offerings	20
AM23: Import a list of students into a course offering	20
AM24: Add student to a course offering	21 ²⁰
AM25: Delete a student from a course offering	21
1.2.3 Faculty (FC).....	21
FC1: Semester selection.....	21
FC2: Export a list of students from a course offering.....	21
FC3: Add/remove students from course offering	21
FC4: Add assessment to a course offering.....	22 ²¹
FC5: Edit assessment	22
FC6: Delete assessment	22
FC7: Add student score to assessment.....	22
FC8: Edit student score.....	23 ²²
FC9: View extent to which students met student outcome.....	23
FC10: Faculty view.....	23
1.2.4 Reports (RP).....	23
RP1: Indicate semester(s) for Overview Course, Outcome, and Overview Outcome Reports	23
RP2: Indicate program(s) for Overview Course, Outcome and Overview Outcome Reports	24 ²³
RP3: Indicate course offering for CORE Report	24 ²³
RP4: Indicate show raw data.....	24
RP5: Show raw data on reports.....	24
RP6: Generate .pdf report file.....	24
RP7: Generate .csv report file.....	25 ²⁴
RP8: Generate CORE Report.....	25 ²⁴
RP9: Generate Overview Course Report	25
RP10: Generate Outcome Report.....	25
RP11: Generate Overview Outcome Report.....	26 ²⁵
RP12: Matrix Report.....	26
RP13: Report view	26
1.3 NON-FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	27 ²⁶
1.3.1 Design Constraints (DC)	27 ²⁶
1.3.2 Human Factors (HF)	28
1.3.3 External Interface Requirements (XI).....	28

- Hardware (HW) 28
- Software (SW) 29
- Communications (CM) 29
- 1.3.4 Security (SC)..... 29
- 1.3.5 Development Environment (DV)..... 29
- 1.3.6 Standards (ST) 29
- 1.3.7 Delivery Environment (DL) 29
- Site (SI) 29
- Operations (OP) 29
- 1.3.8 Performance (PR)..... 30
- 1.3.9 Deliverable Items, Dates and Conditions (DD)..... 30
- 1.3.10 Cost (CT)..... 30
- 1.3.11 Quality (QL)..... 30
- Reliability (RL)..... 30
- Availability (AL) 30
- Maintainability (ML) 30
- Usability (UL)..... 30
- Enhanceability/Extendibility (EN)..... 30
- Portability (PT) 30
- 1.3.12 V&V Activities (VV)..... 30
- 1.3.13 Database (DB) 30
- 1.3.14 Adaptability (AD)..... 31
- 1.4 REQUIREMENTS MODELS 31
- ILLUSTRATIVE USE CASES (IUC)..... 31**
- FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS (FE)..... 31**

Introduction

1.1 Software Purpose and Scope

The goal of this web application is to simplify and standardize how faculty members in the Computer Science (CS) and Electrical Engineering (EE) Departments at Montana Tech assess their courses in relation to ABET student outcomes. Specifically, help CS and EE faculty members determine the extent to which students in their courses have met student outcomes by streamlining the repetitive tasks which the faculty members were doing by hand. This application should make continual course assessment easier. The customers for this web application are the faculty and staff of the CS and EE department. The web application is called AbOut, for Accreditation Board of Engineering and Technology (ABET) Outcomes.

1.2 Document Purpose and Contents

This Software Requirements Specification (SRS) describes the web application, by detailing its functionality and characteristics. This is likely to be useful to the customers who want this software, the eventual users of the software, those who develop the software, and those who test it. The customers of this web application are the same as the users of it. These people can use this SRS to learn about the web application and to clarify questions about it. Developers use this document to learn what they need to design and implement. Tester can use it to develop test cases for AbOut.

Customers sometimes find sample interfaces easier to understand than documents such as this SRS. Sample user interfaces demonstrate one way that the software could appear. This document goes further to tell precisely what functionality is needed.

This document does not attempt to tell how this software should be implemented except in those cases where the customers want the application to be developed in a particular way. Deciding exactly what a system should do, before deciding how it will do it, reduces development time considerably.

This SRS was developed by the software engineering (SE) students in the course Requirements and Specifications (ESOF 328) in the spring semester of 2011.

1.3 Definitions, Acronyms, and References

The following tables of definitions, acronyms and references may be useful for reading this document.

1.3.1 Definitions

Administer	See “Authorized Administrator”
Assessment	An assessment associated with a course offering. The assessment is created by the instructor of the course. It consists of a description, maximum number of points, and a list of the student outcomes which it measures.
Authorized Administrator	Montana Tech staff member in the MTECHS domain who is registered in the AbOut system.
Authorized Faculty Member	Montana Tech faculty member in the MTECHS domain who is registered in the AbOut system.
Authorized User	Montana Tech faculty member or staff in the MTECHS domain who is registered in the AbOut system.
Assessment Goal	The overall (percentage) score which a student needs to meet or exceed to be considered to have met the student outcomes. This needs to be stored in such a way so the assessment goal can be changed easily. Throughout this document, it is assumed that the assessment goal is 70%.
CORE	Course Outcome, Review and Evaluation (CORE)
CORE Report	A report which faculty members in the CS Department are required to write for each course offering which they teaching in the CS or SE degree. The AbOut web application generates statistics for this report. (See CORE Statistics)
CORE Statistics	Report showing the extent to which student outcomes were met by students in a course offering.
Course	Course contributing to measuring student outcomes and offered by the CS department. Courses are identified by a prefix and number, such as <i>ESOF 328</i> . The course prefixes are CSCI and ESOF. The course has a name, such as <i>Software Requirements and Specifications</i> .
Course Offering	A particular section of a course offered in a particular semester. Course offerings are identified by a course, a section, and a semester.
Course Outcome	Criteria which students passing the course should meet. These are specific to the course and are different than ‘Student outcomes’ which are specific to either the CS or SE program. AbOut does not measure course outcomes. This term does not appear elsewhere in this document and is included here to avoid confusing this with student outcomes.
Overview Course Report	Report showing the extent to which students met the student outcomes during the semester(s) of interest. This report is divided by student outcomes and courses. It is similar to the Outcome Report only that report divides the information by semesters rather than courses.

Default Semester	The semester used when no semester is given (for instance, creating a course offering or a new course).
Faculty Member	See “Authorized Faculty Member”
Matrix Report	Report showing the association of courses and outcomes. It is used by faculty members for purposes other than that of presentation to accreditation committees or the IAB.
Modern Browser	Any web browser which reliably implements the latest (as of 2011) standards in HTML and CSS, with complete support for JavaScript.
Outcome Abbreviation	Uniquely identifies a student outcome. Begins with prefix CAC or EAC followed by a letter or number.
Outcome Report	Report showing the extent to which students met the selected student outcome during the semester(s) of interest. This report is divided by courses and semesters.
Overview Outcome Report	Report showing the extent to which students met the student outcomes during the semester(s) of interest. This report is divided by student outcomes and semesters. It is similar to the Course Report only that report divides the information by courses rather than semesters.
Registered in AbOut	AbOut contains user information for this person.
Semester	A Montana Tech semester. Consists of a year and either fall, spring or summer.
Semester(s) of Interest	A single semester or a range of semesters for which a report is being generated.
Simple Average	An average of each value with no weighting due to characteristics of the values.
Student Score	The score that a student earned on an assessment.
Student Outcome	A program criterion defined by the CS department. These are identified by a prefix followed by a letter or number. The prefixes are CAC or EAC. Each student outcome has descriptive text.
User	See “Authorized User”

1.3.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations

ABET	Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology
CAC	Computing Accreditation Commission.
CAS	Central Authentication Service
CS	Computer Science
EAC	Engineering Accreditation Commission
IAB	Industry Advisory Board
MTECHS	Montana Tech Campus Network Domain
NM	Not Measured
SE	Software Engineering
SRS	Software Requirements Specification

1.3.3 Technical Definitions/Data Dictionary

Item Name	Type	Brief description of data item
SSL	Protocol	Secure Sockets Layer; secures transmitted data through encryption of data.

1.4References

ABET, <http://www.abet.org/>

CAS, <https://wiki.jasig.org/display/CAS/Home>

CS Department Student Outcomes,
<http://cs.mtech.edu/main/index.php/component/content/article/146>

W3C XHTML validation software, <http://validator.w3.org>

W3C CSS validation software, <http://jigsaw.w3.org/css-validator>

General Factors

1.5Product Perspective

This web application will be independent of other products except CAS (see Section 2.5, Dependencies).

1.6Product Functions

This section provides a high-level overview of the functionality of the web application.

Function Overview

The AbOut web application will be used to:

- associate ABET student outcomes with specific CS and SE courses
- associate faculty and students with courses
- enable faculty to record assessments of the course offerings they teach
- enable faculty to record the score which a student earned on an assessment
- generate a variety of reports indicating the extent to which the outcomes were met

These functions are divided into three overlapping sets: faculty, administrative, and reporting functions.

Administrative Functions

Authorized faculty members and administrators are able to do the following:

- Add, edit, delete and view users of the AbOut system
- View the semesters in the system and set a default semester
- Tell the system to generate the next chronological semester
- Add, edit, delete and view outcomes
- Add, edit, delete and view courses, along with the student outcomes associated with them
- Add, edit, delete and view offerings of courses
- Add, edit, delete and view students in a course offering
- Import a list of students into a course.

Note that administrators are not able to access the assessments associated with a course offering. Only faculty members can add, edit, delete and view assessments.

Faculty Functions

Authorized faculty members are able to do the following:

- View the course offerings that they are currently teaching or have taught in the past
- Add assessments to course offerings that they have taught or are teaching
- Add or remove students from course offerings that they taught or are teaching
- Enter student scores on the assessments in the course offering which they taught or are teaching
- Export a list of the students in an offering they have taught or are teaching.

Reporting Functions

Authorized faculty members and administrators are able to do the following.

- Generate CORE statistics showing the extent to which students enrolled in a course offering met the outcomes associated with that offering
- Generate a Course Report showing the extent to which students met the student outcomes during the semester(s) of interest. This report is divided by student outcomes and courses.
- Generate an Outcome Report showing the extent to which students met student outcomes during the semester(s) of interest. This report is divided by student outcomes and semesters.

1.7 Environmental Conditions

AbOut will be a web application which is accessed from the CS Department website. The CS Department will need a web and database server in order to serve AbOut.

Users will need a Montana Tech account in the MTECHS domain to access this system. They will also need to be registered within the AbOut system, i.e. AbOut needs to contain the user id for this person.

1.8 User Characteristic

The primary users of this system are the faculty and staff of the CS Department. An understanding of the assessment process, a familiarity with web browsers, and proficiency completing forms on a computer, is assumed.

While other departments at Montana Tech may find this application useful, at this time it is only being developed for the CS Department.

1.9 Dependencies

AbOut will utilize the Central Authentication Service (CAS). CAS is a single sign-on protocol for the web. CAS allows web applications to authenticate users without gaining access to a user's security credentials.

CAS is offered by Montana Tech's Campus Technology Services and is used to authenticate Montana Tech users for most campus applications. Without CAS, users of AbOut would need to create and remember another username / password combination to login to AbOut.

AbOut will not depend on any other systems.

1.10 Assumptions

This application will be available on any hardware connected to the Internet and supporting a modern browser. However, developers can assume that the screen display will be that of a typical desktop or laptop, 1024x768 pixels.

Inconsistent browser support for web standards causes problems when applications are rendered on older browsers. Catering to these older browsers increases development costs. Developers of AbOut can assume that modern browsers will be used to access the application (see Section 5, SW1). Developers can also assume that JavaScript and cookies will be enabled.

Analysis Use Cases

1.1 Actors

Primary Actor	Description	Use Cases
Administrator	A permission that allows users to do administrative functions for assessments. This permission is meant for administrative staff. Examples: Secretaries and Department heads	Add/edit/delete/view student courses Add/edit/delete/view student outcomes Add/edit/delete/view users Add/edit/delete/view course offerings Generate next semester Import lists of students Set default semester View semesters in system Add performance criteria to student outcome
Observer	A permission that allows the user to view but not modify data.	Generate C.O.R.E. statistics Generate matrix report Generate overview course report - ? Generate overview outcome report - ? Generate outcome report
Faculty member active	A permission that allows the user limited add, edit, view, and delete permissions to offerings they are associated with. The user is also allowed to generate C.O.R.E statics.	Add/remove students from course offering Add/edit/delete/view metric within course offering Add score(s) to metric Export list of students View course offering Generate C.O.R.E. statistics
Faculty member inactive	A permission for ex faculty members. Meant to help preserve data for historical reference.	None

1.1 Use Cases

Use Case Name:	Add Student Score(s) to Metric		
Created By:	ESOF 328 students	Last Updated By:	Celia Schahczenski
Date Created:	2/17/2015	Date Last Updated:	3/4/2015

Actors:	Faculty member
Description:	A faculty member enters a student score, or multiple students' scores, to a metric.
Trigger:	Faculty member has created a metric and wants to enter student scores for the metric
Preconditions:	1. Faculty member is logged into AbOut 2. Faculty member is within a course offering which (s)he is

	<p>teaching and has created a metric for the offering by entering a description of the metric, its maximum number of points and at least one outcome which it measures</p> <p>3. There is at least one student in the course offering</p>
Postconditions:	1. The score(s) entered are stored in AbOut
Normal Flow:	<p>1.0 Enter a single score.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Faculty member inputs the number of points a student earned on the metric. 2. Faculty indicates “save” 3. The percentage(s) for the score(s) have been updated 4. A message informing the user that the scores were saved is displayed
Alternative Flows:	<p>1.1 Faculty member enters multiple scores (branch before step 1)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Faculty member has a list of newline separated values in the clipboard 2. Faculty member inputs these scores (return to step 2) <p>1.2 User indicates “reset” to undo recent edits (branch after step 1)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User indicates “reset” 2. Entered information is set back to previous values (return to step 1)
Exceptions:	<p>1.0.E.1 Illegal score is entered (after step 1)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1a. The score is a negative number or non-numeric 1b. The score is higher than the maximum number of points 2a. The system indicates that the score is illegal and does not allow score to be saved (return to step 1) 2b. The score is set to the maximum number of points (return to step 2) <p>1.0.E.2 User navigates away from page (branch after step 2)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The user navigates away from page after entering one or more scores and before indicating “save” 2. System warns that entered information will be lost and allows the user to stay or go 3a. User stays (return to step 2) 2b. User goes (use case is terminated) <p>1.1.E.1 Number of scores do not match the number of students in the offering (after step 2)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The system indicates that the number of scores do not match the number of students and no scores are recorded (return to step 1)
Includes:	None
Priority:	High
Frequency of Use:	Number of metrics*number of students*number of offerings each semester.
Business Rules:	Metric scores cannot exceed the maximum number of points
Special Requirements:	None

Assumptions:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For entering multiple grades at once, the order of student names in AbOut matches the order of the names in the grade source Multiple grades will be entered via the clipboard
Notes and Issues:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This use case corresponds to requirement FC7 The word metric has replaced the word assessment that was used in previous versions of the SRS.

Explanatory User Interfaces

This document originally contained sample user interface screens, however, once development started, multiple user interfaces were trialed and an interface entirely different from what was given in this document was chosen. Thus, that sample interface was removed from this document.

Specific Requirements

This section provides details of the AbOut system. The functional requirements describe the functionality that AbOut must provide. The non-functional requirements give other characteristics of the AbOut system.

1.2 Functional Requirements

The following requirements describe the functionality that AbOut will provide.

1.2.1 System (SM)

SM1: AbOut login

The user shall input a Montana Tech login name and password to enter the AbOut system. The login name and password shall be verified by CAS. If the credentials are accepted by CAS and if the user is registered in AbOut, the user is authorized to use AbOut.

Commented [MD1]: The name and password are entered directly into CAS in the current system.

Rationale: Login exists for security reasons, to protect sensitive data and data change. At this time only faculty and staff of the CS department will use AbOut.

SM2: AbOut logout

Users who are logged into the AbOut system can log out at any time that there isn't an action which must be attended to.

Rationale: It should be easy for users of the system to quickly disconnect from AbOut.

SM3: Automatic logout

The AbOut system shall automatically logout users who have been inactive for more than 3 minutes.

Rationale: Users may be called away when working with AbOut and they should not remain logged when they are not actually using the software.

1.2.2 Administrator (AM)

Authorized faculty members are able to perform all administrative functions.

AM1: Add user information

Administrators shall be able to add a user who is authorized to use AbOut. The user information consists of the MTECHS username, the person's name, ~~and~~ an indicator if the person is an administrator or a faculty member, and the department(s) that they are associated with.~~—~~

Rationale: New users may join the department and need access to AbOut. A list of current faculty is needed when the administrator creates new course offerings.

AM2: Edit user information

Administrators shall be able to edit the name, ~~and the role,~~ and department for users who are authorized to use AbOut. If a faculty member's name is changed, that change does not permeate to the previous course offerings that the faculty member taught. The new name will be used for any later course offerings.

Rationale: Users may change their name and status as faculty members. MTECHS user names shall not be editable since these serve to connect users to course offerings.

AM3: Delete user information

Administrators shall be able to delete users who are no longer authorized to use AbOut. If a user is deleted, assessments associated with offerings taught by that user will no longer be accessible. The offering itself, will stay in the system, along with any scores earned by the students. The instructor name associated with the offering will stay the same.

Rationale: Faculty and staff may leave the department and administrators may want to remove them from the system.

AM4: View user information

Administrators shall be able to view a list of all users of the system sorted alphabetically by last name.

Rationale: Administrators may need to ~~know all users which AbOut knows about.~~ view a list of all users registered with the AbOut system.

AM5: Add semester

~~Administrators shall be able to tell the system to generate the next chronological semester. The system shall create the semester and ask the user if the newly created semester should be the default semester (see “Change default semester”). Administrators shall be able to generate the next chronological semester and set it to the default semester if desired. (see “Change default semester” for changing the default semester outside of adding a semester)~~

Rationale: ~~Much of the data in the system is tied to semesters, so semesters can't be edited or deleted. Furthermore, semesters Semesters must be generated in order. It is highly likely that the new semester will made the default semester. ,so it is best that the system handle it.~~

AM6: View all semesters

Administrators shall be able to a view a list of all semesters in the system, ordered from the latest (most recent) semester to the earliest.

Rationale: Administrators need ~~to know what~~ to view the semesters that have been created in AbOut. ~~knows about.~~

AM7: Default semester

At all times, AbOut shall have a default semester.

Rationale: Most AbOut operations are dependent on a semester. Work is typically done on the current semester, so it will be helpful if that is a default semester.

AM8: Change default semester

Administrators shall be able to change the default semester.

Rationale: ~~As AbOut users prepare for a new semester they will want to change the default semester, new semesters are created, the system's default semester will need to be changed. The change should not be automatic as it is less dependent on the date as it is what is being done in the system. Changing the default semester is not done automatically by the system since the semester may be created before the date it goes into effect.~~

AM9: Administrative view

When an authorized administrator logs into AbOut, the administrative functions shall be displayed and easily accessible.

Commented [MD2]: Not being able to edit or remove semesters might need to be another requirement. I don't believe the system needs to be talked about in this requirement, since it's implied that the actor performs these requirements using the software.

Commented [MD3]: This may be more design than requirement.

Commented [MD4]: This applies that the current semester should always be the default semester which isn't true.

Commented [MD5]: I'm not sure if this is really needed.

Rationale: Displaying faculty, administrator, and report functions separately may make the system easier to use. Additionally, this provides a stable default view for administrators.

AM10: Add student outcome

Administrators shall be able to add a student outcome to the AbOut system. Student outcome information includes an abbreviation, textual description, the program area to which it refers (CS or SE), and the semester when the outcome came into effect.

Rationale: New student outcomes may need to be measured and the system will need to know when these new student outcomes came into effect.

AM11: Edit student outcome

The system shall allow administrators to edit student outcomes. ~~Administrators are not required to add a new student outcome each time an outcome changes.~~

Rationale: Student outcomes will change over time. Allowing administrators to easily accommodate those changes will increase system usability and consistency.

AM12: Delete student outcome

Administrators shall be able to delete student outcomes from the AbOut system if that student outcome has no ~~assessments metrics~~ measuring it or any of its performance criteria.

Rationale: Student outcomes may be mistakenly added to the system and administrators need to be able to clean up the system. A student outcome which is associated with a course and/or an offering, yet has no ~~criteria or assessments metrics~~ measuring it, would not have contributed useful information to a report and can be deleted.

AM13: View outcomes

Administrators shall be able to view all student outcomes in the system including, even old past student outcomes.

Rationale: Administrators must ~~know what student outcomes~~ be able to view all outcomes that have been created in AbOut. ~~knows about.~~

AM14: Add course

Administrators shall be able to add courses to the AbOut system. Course information includes an abbreviation, course name, and the semester when the course became part of the curriculum. Administrators can also associate outcomes with a course (see “Associate or disassociate outcomes with course”).

Commented [MD6]: This might be more design

Commented [MD7]: Causes this requirement not to stand on it's own.

Rationale: ~~In the future, New courses will be added to the curriculum and the AbOut needs to be able to accommodate the new courses.~~

AM15: Edit course

The system shall allow administrators to edit a course. ~~Administrators are not required to add a new course each time a course changes.~~

Rationale: Courses will change over time. Allowing administrators to easily accommodate those changes will increase system usability and consistency.

AM16: Delete course

Administrators shall be able to delete a course from the AbOut system if there are no course offerings associated with that course.

Rationale: Courses may have been mistakenly added to the system and administrators need to be able to clean up the system.

AM17: View courses

Administrators shall be able to view all courses in the system, ~~including even old~~ past courses.

Rationale: Administrators may need to ~~see a~~ view a list of all courses that have been created in AbOut.

AM18: Associate or dissociate outcomes with course

Administrators shall be able to associate or dissociate outcomes with a course. The system shall present a list of all active outcomes to the administrator.

Rationale: The outcomes associated with a course will change through time.

AM19: Add course offering

Administrators shall be able to add a course offering to the AbOut system. The administrator shall select a semester, or use the default semester. (S)he shall also select a course, a section number, and the current faculty member who will teach this course offering. The name of the faculty member shall be copied into this course offering. The student outcomes that this course offering will measure shall be automatically connected to this course offering. Note that the student outcomes associated with this course offering cannot be changed here. In order to change which student outcomes are associated with this offering, an administrator must delete this offering, make the new associations between the course and outcomes, and recreate the offering.

Rationale: Every semester new offerings of courses will be created. The name of the faculty member will be copied into this offering so that if the faculty member later changes his/her name, the change will not be reflected in this offering. The

Commented [MD8]: Goes into design. May need to be split into multiple requirements.

course and outcomes are not allowed to change, so references to these can be used.

AM20: Edit course offering

Administrators shall be able to edit the section number and user (current faculty member who will be teaching the course) associated with a course offering. Students can also be associated with this course offering (see AM25 and AM26).

Rationale: Course offerings may need to change. The semester, course and outcomes associated with the offering cannot be changed. The semester and course are intrinsic to the offering. The outcomes are a function of the course. The system will be more consistent if the outcomes associated with the course offering cannot be changed.

AM21: Delete course offering

Administrators shall be able to delete a course offering from the AbOut system if there are no assessments associated with this offering.

Rationale: Course offerings may have been mistakenly added to the system and administrators need to be able to clean up the system.

AM22: View all course offerings

Administrators shall be able to view all courses offerings in the system, ordered by the latest offerings, alphabetically by the course abbreviation, to the earliest course offerings.

Rationale: Administrators may need to see a list of all courses offerings.

AM23: Import a list of students into a course offering

Administrators shall be able to import a list of students into a course offering by providing the file name of a comma-separated list of students.

Rationale: Entering student information one by one could be time consuming and the information is likely to be available in a comma-separated list.

AM24: Add student to a course offering

Administrators or the faculty member teaching a course offering shall be able to add students to the course offering. If the course offering already contains assessments and student scores associated with the assessment, the new student

Commented [MD9]: May be design.

Commented [MD10]: Perhaps the faculty requirement for adding/removing students should just refer to this requirement since it was worded to include faculty. I am not sure if it is ok that it included faculty since it is under the Administrator section.

scores will default to zero and the user shall be informed that the added students are getting a zero for this assessment.

Rationale: Students may join the course at a later date or the administrator or the faculty member may decide to add students individually to the course offering.

AM25: Delete a student from a course offering

Administrators or the faculty member teaching a course offering shall be able to remove a student from the course offering, causing all assessment scores for that student to be removed. If the course offering had one or more assessment, with a score for the student being dropped, the user shall be informed that the deleted student's score is being lost.

Commented [MD11]: See AM24 Comment.

Rationale: Students may drop the course, students may be taking the course offering who are not CS or SE majors so do not need to be measured, and failing student scores may need to be removed from the assessments for that course offering.

1.2.3 Faculty (FC)

FC1: Semester selection

Users shall be able to select a semester different from the default semester for which operations will be performed. This will not modify the default semester.

Rationale: Periodically users will need to perform operations on semesters other than the default semester.

FC2: Export a list of students from a course offering

Faculty members shall be able to export a list of students from a course offering which they teach by providing a path to which a comma-separated list of students will be written.

Rationale: Exporting the list of students in an offering may be useful to the faculty member in creating their grading sheet.

FC3: Add/remove students from course offering

Commented [MD12]: See AM24/AM25 comment.

Faculty shall be able to add and remove students associated with a course offering which they teach. Once a faculty member is working with a course offering, the course, semester, section and students outcomes of the offering cannot be changed.

Commented [MD13]: This should be a separate requirement.

Rationale: The course, semester and section are inherent in the offering and changing one of these essentially creates a new offering. The student outcomes

cannot be changed because outcomes student outcomes are associated with the course. If student outcomes are to be changed, they must be changed at the course level. The current offering would need to be deleted, the outcomes of the course changed and the offering re-created.

FC4: Add ~~assessment~~metric to a course offering

The faculty member teaching a course offering shall be able to add ~~an assessment~~metric to the offering. ~~Assessment~~Metric information includes a short textual description of the assessment item, the maximum number of points that a student can achieve on the item, and a list of those student outcomes that this assessment measures. The student outcomes being measured must come from the list of student outcomes associated with this course offering.

Rationale: ~~Assessments~~Metrics are needed to determine how well students perform on student outcomes.

FC5: Edit ~~assessment~~metric

The faculty member teaching a course offering shall be able to edit the description, maximum number of points, and list of student outcomes which this ~~assessment~~metric will measure. The student outcomes being measured must come from the list of student outcomes associated with this course offering.

Rationale: Faculty members may reconsider ~~an assessment~~metric description and the student outcomes it ~~assesses~~measures, and should be able to modify these. They may have mistakenly entered the wrong number of points for the outcome and should be able to fix their mistake.

FC6: Delete ~~assessment~~metric

The faculty member teaching a course offering shall be able to delete a ~~a~~n ~~assessment~~metric from that course offering. If a ~~a~~n ~~assessment~~metric is deleted, all student scores associated with that ~~assessment~~metric will be deleted.

Rationale: ~~Assessments~~Metrics may be mistakenly added to the system and the faculty member teaching the offering must be able to clean up the system.

FC7: Add student score to ~~assessment~~metric

The faculty member teaching a course offering shall be able to add a student score to ~~a~~n ~~assessment~~metric. Student scores must be in the range of 0 to the maximum number of points for the ~~assessment~~metric. If a score is not entered for a the score will default to 0.

Rationale: Student scores are needed since they are what enable the system to report the extent to which student outcomes are met.

FC8: Edit student score

Commented [MD14]: Should this mention that it is a metric score?

The faculty member teaching a course offering shall be able to edit a student score on an assessment-metric provided the new score is within the range of 0 to the maximum number of points for the assessment-metric. If the score is set to anything else (blank for instance) it will default to 0.

Rationale: Student scores may need to be changed due to data entry mistakes.

FC9: View extent to which students met student outcome

The faculty member teaching a course offering shall be able to view the extent to which students in the offering met the student outcomes associated with that course, as percentages. If scores have not yet been entered for any assessment-metric(s) which measures a student outcome, the percentage will be 0%.

Rationale: As student scores are entered for assessments-metrics, faculty members will want to know the extent to which students met the student outcomes.

FC10: Faculty view

When an authorized faculty member logs into AbOut, the offerings that the faculty member is teaching or has taught, shall be displayed and the faculty functions easily accessible.

Rationale: Displaying faculty, administrator and report functions separately may make the system easier to use. Additionally, this enables faculty members to easily access the courses they teach or have taught.

1.2.4 Reports (RP)

RP1: Indicate semester(s) for Overview Course, ~~Outcome, and Overview Outcome Reports~~

Users shall be able to indicate the semester(s) of interest for which a report is to be generated. They may indicate a single semester or provide a start and end semester for the report.

Rationale: All these reports are relevant to a semester or a range of semesters.

RP2: Indicate program(s) for Overview Course, ~~Outcome and Overview Outcome Reports~~

Users shall be able to indicate the program(s)-~~(CS, SE of both)~~ for which the report is to be generated.

Rationale: Reports on CS courses are needed when reporting to the ABET Computing Accreditation Commission (CAC), reports on SE courses are needed when reporting to Engineering Accreditation Commission (EAC), and CS and SE courses are needed when reporting to the Computer Science Department Industry Advisory Board.

Commented [MD15]: If a
Commented [MD16]: Will need to be expanded to EE.

RP3: Indicate course offering for CORE Report

Users shall be able to indicate the course offering for which CORE statistics are to be generated. To select the course offering, users indicate the course, semester and section of the offering.

Rationale: In order to generate CORE statistics for an offering, the offering must be identified.

RP4: Indicate show raw data

Users shall be able to indicate that raw data- is to be shown on any report except the Matrix Report.

Rationale: Users may want the raw data shown, or they may want a less crowded report.

RP5: Show raw data on reports

For all reports, excluding the Matrix Report, the system shall be able to display the raw data (~~number of students who earned the assessment goal over the total number of students assessed~~number of students in the course and the weight of the course for its performance criterion), in addition to the corresponding percentage representation.

Rationale: Users may want to view the raw data for analytical purposes.

RP6: Generate .pdf report file

The system shall be able to generate PDF files for all report types. This file will contain the entirety of the report. The filename for the .pdf file will be the report name, along with the course, program, or outcome that is the focus of the report.

Rationale: Users will be using these reports in a variety of circumstances for which a .pdf file is helpful.

RP7: Generate .csv report file

The system shall be able to generate a Comma Separated Value (.csv) file for all report types. This file will contain the analyzable subset of the report. The filename for the .csv file will be the report name, along with the course, program, or outcome that is the focus of the report.

Rationale: Users may want to analyze the report data using another application.

Commented [Unknown A17]: This cannot be guaranteed by the system, as downloads are handled by the browser and OS. It also contradicts the current implementation

RP8: Generate CORE Report

Users shall be able to prompt the system to generate statistics for a CORE Report which displays the percentage of students enrolled in a course offering who earned the assessment goal or higher. The text of each student outcome associated with the course offering shall be displayed in the statistics. If there are no student scores for any assessment of an outcome, the percentage shall be 0.

Rationale: Faculty members will be able to attach the generated page into their CORE Reports, which will save them time and may make the reports more accurate. Generating statistics for the CORE reports are one of the tedious, repetitive tasks which AbOut was created to alleviate.

RP9: Generate Overview Course Report

Users shall be able to prompt the system to generate an Overview Course Report which displays the percentage of students, by course and student outcome, who earned the assessment goal or higher, for all assessments within the range of semesters indicated. If there are no student scores for any assessment of an outcome, the percentage shall be 0. The report shall also display an average, across all outcomes, for each course, and an average, across all courses, for each outcome.

The report shall contain a list of any student outcome or course which was active during a portion of the indicated semester range, but not during all of it.

Rationale: Providing an Overview Course Report will allow users, ABET evaluators, and IAB members to look more deeply at what each course contributed to the extent that student outcome were met during a semester range.

RP10: Generate Outcome Report

Users shall be able to prompt the system to generate an Outcome Report which displays the percentage of students, by course and semester, who earned the assessment goal or higher, for all assessments within the range of semesters indicated. If there are no student scores for any assessment of an outcome, the percentage shall be 0. The report shall also display an average, across all outcomes, for each semester, and an average, across all semesters, for each outcome.

The report shall contain a list of any student outcome which was active during a portion of the indicated semester range, but not during all of it.

Rationale: Providing an Overview Outcome Report will allow AbOut users, ABET evaluators and IAB members to quickly see the extent to which each student outcome was met during a semester range.

Commented [MD18]: Can be removed?

RP11: Generate Overview Outcome Report

Users shall be able to prompt the system to generate an Outcome Report which displays the aggregate percentage of students, by student outcome and semester, who earned the assessment goal or higher, for all assessments in all course offerings within the range of semesters indicated. If there are no student scores for any assessment of an outcome, the percentage shall be 0. The report shall also display an average, across all outcomes, for each semester, and an average, across all semesters, for each outcome.

The report shall contain a list of any student outcome which was active during a portion of the indicated semester range, but not during all of it.

Rationale: Providing an Overview Outcome Report will allow AbOut users, ABET evaluators and IAB members to quickly see the extent to which each student outcome was met during a semester range.

Commented [MD19]: Can be removed?

RP12: Matrix Report

Users shall be able to generate a Matrix Report which displays, by courses and outcomes, which outcomes each course assesses. If a course assesses a given outcome, the report will denote it with an ~~an~~ 'X' a value representing the course's weight, while courses that do not will be unmarked.

Rationale: Providing a Matrix report will allow AbOut users to quickly view which courses are responsible for assessing individual outcomes when planning to add or remove outcomes from a course.

RP13: Report view

The interface for generating statistics and reports shall be separated from the administrative and faculty functions.

Rationale: Displaying faculty, administrator and report functions separately may make the system easier to use.

1.3 Non-Functional Requirements

While the functional requirements detail the functions which the system can perform, the non-functional requirements describe characteristics of the system. These characteristics typically apply to the entire system.

1.3.1 Design Constraints (DC)

DC1: This application is to be developed using MySQL and PHP.

Commented [MD20]: I think there is some javascript that is also used.

DC2: It must be easy to change the value of the assessment-metric goal. A programmer should only need to change the code in one place, and all reports will be generated using the new assessment-metric goal.

DC3: When a faculty member logs into AbOut, a list of the course offerings the faculty member is teaching or has taught shall be displayed in reverse chronological order by semester. If the faculty member is not assigned to teach any offerings and has never taught any offerings, the system shall state this clearly. Administrative functions shall be accessible from this Faculty View, but with less prominence.

DC4: When an administrator logs into AbOut the Administrative functions shall be prominent.

DC5: Outcome Reports shall appear similar to the following:

Commented [MD21]: New mockups will be needed for the EE expansion

Overview Outcome Report

CAC

Fall 2012-Spring 2013

This report shows the percentage of students in the semester who earned 70% or higher on all assessments measuring the outcome.

	Fall 2012	Spring 2013	Row Average
CAC a		63%	63%
CAC b		50%	50%
CAC c		54%	54%
CAC d		40%	40%
CAC e		50%	50%
CAC f		60%	60%
CAC g		50%	50%
CAC h		50%	50%
CAC i		63%	63%
CAC j		63%	63%
CAC k		63%	63%
Column Average		56%	

Commented [MD22]: This can be removed?

DC6: Course Reports shall appear similar to the following:

Overview Course Report

CAC

Fall 2012-Spring 2013

This report shows the percentage of students in the course who earned 70% or higher on all assessments measuring the outcome.

	CAC a	CAC b	CAC c	CAC d	CAC e	CAC f	CAC g	CAC h	CAC i	CAC j	CAC k	Row Average
CSCI135		82%	100%						100%	84%	100%	85%
CSCI138		88%	88%						83%	100%	83%	91%
CSCI194						80%		80%				80%
CSCI232	69%	75%	63%		81%				66%	81%	66%	72%
CSCI246	62%											62%
CSCI255	88%		83%						88%			86%
CSCI305	83%								83%	83%		83%
CSCI332	92%	85%	77%						77%	69%	77%	79%
CSCI340	70%	90%	100%	100%	70%	80%	70%	100%	100%	70%	90%	85%
CSCI381	87%		78%			100%				89%	100%	87%
CSCI438	100%	100%								75%		92%
CSCI446	63%	100%	100%		88%		88%	100%		63%		86%
CSCI460	100%		75%			100%		100%	100%	75%		82%
CSCI468	100%					100%			100%	100%	100%	100%
CSCI470	100%		100%						100%	100%	100%	100%
CSCI488												
CSCI494					100%	100%		100%				100%
CSCI498	50%			50%		50%		50%	50%		50%	50%
ESOF322	100%	100%	100%		100%	75%	75%		100%		100%	94%
ESOF328	91%	91%	91%	82%	91%	91%	91%	100%	82%		91%	90%
Column Average	84%	89%	87%	77%	88%	87%	81%	91%	87%	83%	88%	

DC7: CORE statistics shall appear similar to the following:

CORE Report

CSCI438 Theory of Computation, 01, Fall 2013

THE PROGRAM OUTCOMES IDENTIFIED IN YOUR SYLLABUS COURSE OUTCOMES ARE LISTED BELOW. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS WHO SHOWED EVIDENCE THAT THEY MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS OUTCOME.

CAC a - An ability to apply knowledge of computing and mathematics appropriate to the discipline • Total Points: 0	
CAC b - An ability to analyze a problem, and identify and define the computing requirements appropriate to its solutions • Total Points: 0	
CAC j - An ability to apply mathematical foundations, algorithmic principles and computer science theory in the modeling and design of computer-based systems in a way that demonstrates comprehension of the tr • Total Points: 0	

1.3.2 Human Factors (HF)

No provisions related to human factors are required by this application.

1.3.3 External Interface Requirements (XI)

Hardware (HW)

HW1: The application is accessible on any hardware connected to the Internet which supports one or more of the browsers listed below (SW1).

Software (SW)

SW1: The application must render correctly on the following browsers: Firefox 3 and Google Chrome 4. It is expect that as new versions of browsers become the norm, the system will be updated to run on those versions.

Communications (CM)

CM1: Connection to CAS is required.

1.3.4 Security (SC)

SC1: The application shall only be accessible to MTECHs users within the AbOut system.

SC2: The application shall not contain Montana Tech student numbers. It may contain student names and will contain assignment, project and/or test question scores.

SC3: AbOut must comply with FERPA regulations.

1.3.5 Development Environment (DV)

No provisions related to the development environment are required by this application.

1.3.6 Standards (ST)

ST1: All HTML code adheres to XHTML 1.0 Strict.

ST2: All CSS code adheres to CSS level 2.1

ST3: A set of coding standards will be used so that the format and character of the code is consistent. These coding standards shall include the W3C standards (<http://www.w3.org/standards/>) for web-content development.

1.3.7 Delivery Environment (DL)

Site (SI)

No site requirements are placed on the application.

Operations (OP)

No operations requirements are placed on the application.

1.3.8 Performance (PR)

PR1: All pages of this application must load on average within 6 seconds when accessed from a computer connected to the campus network. Measurements will be taken using the iWebTool Speed Test (www.iwebtool.com, website speed test).

1.3.9 Deliverable Items, Dates and Conditions (DD)

DD1: A current version of this SRS, design document, test document, and maintenance manual will be delivered with the application.

1.3.10 Cost (CT)

No cost requirements are placed on the application.

1.3.11 Quality (QL)

Reliability (RL)

No reliability requirements are placed on the application.

Availability (AL)

No availability requirements are placed on the application.

Maintainability (ML)

No specific maintainability requirements are placed on this application.

Usability (UL)

UL1: No faculty or staff member spends longer than 10 minutes figuring out how to complete a task of the application.

UL2: The user shall be able to exit the system at any time.

Enhanceability/Extendibility (EN)

No specific enhanceability/extendibility requirements are placed on this application.

Portability (PT)

No specific portability requirements are placed on this application.

1.3.12 V&V Activities (VV)

VV1: As future users of this system will be readily available, the application must be validated by users a minimum of two times during application development.

1.3.13 Database (DB)

DB1: MySQL shall be used for this application.

1.3.14 Adaptability (AD)

No changes to the above non-functional requirements are expected.

1.4 Requirements Models

No requirements models are included in this specification.

Illustrative Use Cases (IUC)

Illustrative use cases were not developed for this specification.

Future Enhancements (FE)

This product could be modified so that other Montana Tech programs which are accredited by ABET can use it. This product could also be modified to allow the users to upload scanned files that pertain to the metrics so digital copies of the material can be easily accessible for review and preserved.