

Theory of Computation, CSCI 438 spring 2022
Properties of regular languages, pg. 44-47, Jan. 19

Languages are sets of strings on an alphabet. Therefore we can define the following operations on languages:

- Union, \cup
- Intersection, \cap
- Complementation, bar over the set
- Difference (Set difference) , \setminus
- Concatenation, \circ
- Star (Kleene closure) , $*$

Closure under an operation: In mathematics, a set is said to be closed under some operation if the operation on members of the set produces a member of the set.

Question: If L is regular is \bar{L} (L complement) regular? (In other words, is the class of regular languages closed under complementation?)

Proof: Let L be a regular language. Since L is regular there is a DFA M which accepts L . Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$.

Consider the DFA $M' = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, Q \setminus F)$. Claim: $\mathcal{L}(M') = \bar{L}$

Let w be a string on the alphabet Σ . That is, $w \in \Sigma^*$. There are two possibilities:

- $w \in L$
- $w \notin L$

For $w \in L$, it must be true that starting in q_0 and consuming w using the transition function δ puts the machine M into a state in F . That is, $\delta^*(q_0, w) \in F$. This means that $\delta^*(q_0, w) \notin Q \setminus F$. Therefore, $w \notin \mathcal{L}(M')$.

For $w \notin L$, it must be true that starting in q_0 and consuming w using the transition function δ puts the machine M into a state not in F . That is, $\delta^*(q_0, w) \notin F$. This means $\delta^*(q_0, w) \in Q \setminus F$. Therefore, $w \in \mathcal{L}(M')$.

Since $w \in L$ implies $w \notin \mathcal{L}(M')$ and $w \notin L$ implies $w \in \mathcal{L}(M')$, $\mathcal{L}(M') = \bar{L}$.

Since M' is a DFA that recognizes the complement of L , the complement of a regular language L is also regular. Therefore, the set of regular languages is closed under complementation.

Question: Say that L_1 and L_2 are defined on the same alphabet. If L_1 and L_2 are regular is $L_1 \cap L_2$ regular? (In other words, is the class of regular languages closed under intersection?)

The set of regular languages is closed under intersection. The proof for this is very similar to the proof that regular languages are closed under union.

Proof:

Say L_1 and L_2 are regular languages. Since L_1 and L_2 are regular, there must be DFAs $M_1=(Q,\Sigma,\delta_q,q_0,F_q)$ and $M_2=(P,\Sigma,\delta_p,p_0,F_p)$ that recognize L_1 and L_2 , respectively. (That is, $\mathcal{L}(M_1)=L_1$ and $\mathcal{L}(M_2)=L_2$.)

Consider a new DFA, $M_{\text{intersection}}$, defined as follows:

$$M_{\text{intersection}} = (Q \times P, \Sigma, \delta', (q_0, p_0), F_{\text{intersection}})$$

where δ' is defined by:

$$\delta'((q_i, p_j), a) = (\delta_q(q_i, a), \delta_p(p_j, a))$$

and $F_{M_{\text{intersection}}}$ is defined by

$$F_{\text{intersection}} = \{(q_i, p_j) \mid q_i \in F_q \text{ and } p_j \in F_p\}$$

With some thought, it can be seen that $M_{\text{intersection}}$ accepts $L_1 \cap L_2$. Thus $L_1 \cap L_2$ is regular and regular languages are closed under intersection.