

**Theory of Computation, CSCI 438 spring 2022**  
**Ambiguity in grammars and more examples, pg. 107-108, Feb. 16**

Exercises 2.6b, 2.15, 2.16 and 2.17

2.6 b The complement of the language  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ .

Answer developed by students in the class and this appears to be unambiguous:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow bX \mid Xa \mid aSb \\ X &\rightarrow aX \mid bX \mid \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

My answer is MUCH longer:

Break this into cases:

Case 1:  $a^n b^m$  where  $n < m$   
Case 2:  $a^n b^m$  where  $m < n$   
Case 3: b appears previous to an a

The following grammar derives the language, but allows ambiguity.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow C_1 \mid C_2 \mid C_3 \\ C_1 &\rightarrow aC_1 b \mid C_1 b \mid b \\ C_2 &\rightarrow aC_2 b \mid aC_2 \mid a \\ C_3 &\rightarrow X b a X \\ X &\rightarrow aX \mid bX \mid \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Here is an unambiguous grammar for the language.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow C_1 \mid C_2 \mid C_3 \\ C_1 &\rightarrow aC_1 b \mid B \\ B &\rightarrow bB \mid b \\ C_2 &\rightarrow aC_2 b \mid A \\ A &\rightarrow aA \mid a \\ C_3 &\rightarrow A' b a X \\ A' &\rightarrow aA' \mid \varepsilon \\ X &\rightarrow aX \mid bX \mid \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

2.15 Give a counterexample to show that the following construction fails to prove that the class of context-free languages is closed under star. Let  $A$  be a CFL that is generated by the CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ . Add the new rule  $S \rightarrow SS$  and call the resulting grammar  $G'$ . This grammar is supposed to generate  $A^*$ .

Consider the language  $L = \{a\}$ . Note that  $L^* = \{\epsilon, a, aa, aaa, \dots\}$

A grammar of  $L$  is  $G = (\{S\}, \{a\}, \{(S \rightarrow a)\}, S)$ .

The construction gives:  $G' = (\{S\}, \{a\}, \{(S \rightarrow a), (S \rightarrow SS)\}, S)$ .

However  $\mathcal{L}(G')$  does not contain  $\epsilon$  so the construction doesn't produce a grammar for  $L^*$ .

(There are other problems with the construction as well.)

2.16 Show that the class of context-free languages is closed under the regular operations, union, concatenation, and star.

Given context-free languages  $L_1$ , described by the grammar  $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$  and  $L_2$ , described by the grammar  $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$

Union:

The grammar  $G_{\text{union}} = (V_1 \cup V_2, \cup \{S\}, \Sigma, R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{(S \rightarrow S_1), (S \rightarrow S_2)\}, S)$  describes  $L_1 \cup L_2$  so context-free languages are closed under the union operator. (In this case  $S$  was added to the variables and the rules  $S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2$  were added to the rules.)

Concatenation:

The grammar  $G_{\text{concat}} = (V_1 \cup V_2, \cup \{S\}, \Sigma, R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{(S \rightarrow S_1 S_2)\}, S)$  describes  $L_1 \circ L_2$  so context-free languages are closed under the concatenation operator. (In this case  $S$  was added to the variables and the rule  $S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$  was added to the rules.)

Star:

The grammar  $G_{\text{star}} = (V_1 \cup \{S\}, \Sigma, R_1 \cup \{(S \rightarrow \epsilon), (S \rightarrow S_1 S_1)\}, S)$  describes  $L_1^*$  so context-free languages are closed under the star operator. (In this case  $S$  was added to the variables and the rule  $S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid S_1 S_1$  was added to the rules.)

2.17 Use the results of Exercise 2.16 to give another proof that every regular language is context-free, by showing how to convert a regular expression directly to an equivalent context-free grammar.

Given a regular language  $L$ . It has been proven that every regular language has a regular expression that describes it. Let  $r$  be a regular expression that describes  $L$ . That is, let  $r$  be such that  $\mathcal{L}(r)=L$ . Since  $r$  is a regular expression it must be of the form:

- $a$  where  $a \in \Sigma$ ,
- $\varepsilon$ ,
- $\Phi$ ,

or be formed recursively from two regular expressions  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are regular expressions

- $r_1 \cup r_2$ ,
- $r_1 \circ r_2$ ,
- $r_1^*$

For  $r = a$  where  $a \in \Sigma$ , a grammar for  $\mathcal{L}(a)$  is  $G = (\{S\}, \Sigma, \{ (S, a) \}, S)$

For  $r = \varepsilon$ , a grammar for  $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon)$  is  $G = (\{S\}, \Sigma, \{ (S \rightarrow \varepsilon) \}, S)$

For  $r = \Phi$ , a grammar for  $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon)$  is  $G = (\{S\}, \Sigma, \{ \}, S)$

The results of exercise 2.16 show that context-free languages are closed under union, concatenation and star-closure, thus every regular language is context-free.